

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/083,782	ZHU ET AL.	
<b>Examiner</b> Ishwar (I. B.) Patel	<b>Art Unit</b> 2827		

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Being allowed

(1) Ishwar (I. B.) Patel. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Christopher B. Linder (Reg. No. 47,751). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 December 2003

**Time:** :-

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

8 and 27

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called the applicant to amend independent claims 8 and 27 to define the relation of the substrate and the interconnect to more clearly claim the invention and to avoid possible 112, second paragraph rejection. Applicant gave permission to amend the application by examiner's amendment.